

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,601	05/25/2006	Matthias Austen	WEICKM-0058	1728
7590 11/28/2008 Millen, White, Zelano & Branigan Arlington Courthouse Plaza 1			EXAM	IINER
			SAJJADI, FEREYDOUN GHOTB	
2200 Clarendon Boulevard, Suite 1400 Arlington, VA 22201)	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1633	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/580,601	AUSTEN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
FEREYDOUN G. SAJJADI	1633	
FERETDOON G. SAJJADI	1000	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Any	Ire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANUCINED (35 U.S.C. § 133). reply received by the Office later than three months after the maining date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b).		
Status			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 May 2006.		
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-63</u> is/are pending in the application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		

Annlication Paners

pp. april ap	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37	CFR 1.85(a).

8) Claim(s) 1-63 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1.∟	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

Atta	cn	m	er	IE(S

Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/580,601 Page 2

Art Unit: 1633

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-63 are pending in the Application. Claim 57 is directed to a method, and has been treated as dependent from claim 55.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-43, drawn to a method of using a neurturin product or a modulator/effector of a neurturin product for the manufacture of a medicament to stimulate and/or induce differentiation of insulin producing cells from progenitor cells.

Group II, claim(s) 44-54, drawn to a cell preparation comprising neurturin-treated functional pancreatic cells.

Group III, claim(s) 55-58, drawn to a method for identifying and/or characterizing compounds capable of modulation the differentiation or regeneration of cells into functional pancreatic cells comprising contacting a test compound with cells in the presence of neurturin.

Group IV, claim(s) 59-63, drawn to a method of using neurturin-expressing cells for the treatment and prevention of diabetes.

Group I claims are subject to further restriction under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372:

37 CFR 1.475 (e) states:

"The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternatives within a single claim."

Art Unit: 1633

In view of 37 CFR 1.475 (e), Group I is considered a plurality of the inventions listed in claim 1, for example. Claim 1 is directed to a method of using a neurturin product, that may be a nucleic acid, a protein or secreting cells (as defined by the specification and dependent claims). Claim 1 further includes a modulator/effector of neurturin, that may be a nucleic acid or protein, or small molecule. Claim 1 additionally encompasses an *in vivo*, an *in vitro* and an *ex vivo* method. Applicants are required to elect a single type of neurturin product, or a single type of neurturin modulator, and one of *in vivo*, *in vitro*, or *ex vivo* for the claimed method. It should be noted that a neurturin product and a modulator/effector thereof are distinct products, constituting separate inventions in the claimed method. This is not a species restriction.

The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

According to PCT Rule 13.2, unity of invention exists only when a shared same or corresponding special technical feature is a contribution over the prior art. The technical feature, which is shared by Groups I-V, is neurturin. Groups I-V do not share a special technical feature over the art because Johnson et al. (U.S. Patent No.: 5,739,307), describe the growth factor neurturin, and cDNA sequences encoding neurturin (Abstract).

Groups I, III and IV claims are drawn to distinct methods that utilize distinct steps, requiring non-coextensive search and examination. In the instant case, the method of Groups I and III are directed to methods of using neurturin for differentiating cells, and a method of identifying compounds respectively. The method of Group IV is directed to a method of using neurturin expressing cells to treat diabetes, that has a different scope than the methods of Groups I and III. Thus, it follows from the preceding analysis that the claimed inventions listed as Groups I, III and IV do not relate to a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1, because under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding technical features for the reasons set forth above.

Groups II and IV are directed to distinct products that, comprising non-shared particulars.

Art Unit: 1633

Groups II and III claims are drawn to a product and the processes of using said product.

The product and methods are distinct, because the product may have separate use, such as for treatment.

Therefore, it follows from the preceding analysis that the claimed inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding technical features for the reasons set forth above.

 This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Embryonic, adult or somatic stem cells, as recited in claims 3 and 36.

Protection, survival or regeneration of insulin producing cells, as recited in claim 6.

Diabetes type I, or type II, or LADA, as recited in claims 11, 12 and 33.

Pancreatic diseases, obesity or metabolic syndrome, as recited in claim 27.

Insulin production in response to glucose or expression of glucagon, as recited in claim 39.

Transplantation or medical device, as recited in claim 48.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 1633

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner: Claims 1, 30, 40, 44, 55, 59, 62 and claims dependent therefrom correspond to all the species listed above.

The following claim(s) are generic: 1-63

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

As the technical features embryonic, adult or somatic stem cells, protection, survival or regeneration of insulin producing cells, diabetes type I, or type II, or LADA, pancreatic diseases, obesity or metabolic syndrome, insulin production in response to glucose or expression of glucagon, and transplantation or medical device, linking the members do not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2, particularly since each of the species does not share a substantially common structural feature, the requirement for unity of invention is not fulfilled.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of

Art Unit: 1633

the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FEREYDOUN G. SAJJADI whose telephone number is (571)272-3311. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM-3:30 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Woitach can be reached on (571) 272-0739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/580,601 Page 7

Art Unit: 1633

/Fereydoun G Sajjadi/ Examiner, Art Unit 1633